

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re : Mathieu Gange and Yuval Ofek  
Serial No. : 10/752,256  
Filed : January 6, 2004  
FOR : Method and Apparatus for Cascading Data  
Through Redundant Data Storage Units  
EXAMINER : Mark A. Ratke  
ART UNIT : 2165

April 17, 2007

Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Statement of the Substance of An Interview

In filing an amendment on April 9, 2007 in connection with the above-identified application, Applicants' representative conducted a telephonic interview with Examiner Ratke on April 4, 2007. Applicants' representative inadvertently failed to incorporate a summary of that interview in an amendment filed in connection with a subsequently filed Request for Continued Examination.

During the interview, Applicants' representative discussed whether the Examiner would consider information concerning counsel's meetings and time spent with respect to questions of priority. Examiner Ratke indicated that he had consulted with

his Primary and that anything could be presented as evidence. He also informed Applicants' representative that any evidence submitted after the Final Office Action would be untimely and suggested that Applicants file a Request for Continued Examination. That was done.

Applicants' representative agrees with the interview summary mailed April 10, 2007 with one possible exception. Applicants' representative recalls that Examiner Ratke indicated he had discussed the matter with Examiner Rimell. Applicants' representative does not recall that Examiner Rimell actually participated in the telephone conference.

If there are any questions, or if the Examiner disagrees with the interpretation of the interview by Applicants' representative, we urge the Examiner to call Applicants' the undersigned.

Respectfully Submitted,

/George A Herbster/

George A. Herbster  
Reg. No. 24,002  
40 Beach Street  
Suite 303  
Manchester, MA 01944  
(978) 526-8111